

*Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Economic Development Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.*

DeKalb County Government  
Sycamore, Illinois

**Economic Development Committee Minutes  
May 6, 2014**

The DeKalb County Economic Development Committee met on Tuesday, May 6, 2014 at 7:00 p.m., in the Administrative Building's Conference Room East. Chairman Whelan called the meeting to order. Members present were Mr. Brown, Mr. Pietrowski, Mr. Metzger, and Mr. Reid. Mr. Oncken and Mr. Deverell were absent. A quorum was met.

Others present were Gary Hanson, Robin Brunschon, Karen Cribben, Anthony Cvek, Claudia Hicks, Jerry Foster, Paul Borek, and Craig Coil.

**APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES**

It was moved by Mr. Metzger, seconded by Mr. Pietrowski, and it was carried unanimously to approve the minutes from April 9, 2014.

**APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

Moved by Mr. Pietrowski, seconded by Mr. Brown and it was carried unanimously to approve the agenda.

**DCEDC REPORT & ENTERPRISE ZONE UPDATE**

Mr. Paul Borek, Executive Director for the DeKalb County Economic Development Corporation introduced Mr. Craig Coil from The Development Consortium, the consultant hired to work with the County to help obtain an Enterprise Zone. Mr. Borek indicated that the contract was finalized with Mr. Coil about a week ago and he came to DeKalb County today to take an initial tour of DeKalb County and to see the industrial parks and districts within the County. He also explained that within the advance of the meeting Mr. Borek shared with Mr. Coil some background information he had shared with the Committee already regarding the published criteria and some of the anticipated qualifications and also some documentation regarding the County's industrial parks and sites.

Mr. Craig Coil introduced himself to the Committee and shared some of his background with everyone. Mr. Coil expressed that he is really happy to be working with DeKalb County and that his company has been somewhat selective with the communities that they have decided to work with and only accept the ones that they think would be good candidates for the Enterprise Zone Program. He continued that over the years, as he has watched the general area, he has always been puzzled why DeKalb County did not have a Zone to begin with because everyone around us does.

Mr. Coiled stated that one thing he wanted to convey to everyone is that whatever the Enterprise Zone Program was, no long is, so that is an advantage for DeKalb County as a new applicant, first and foremost. It provides an opportunity to be able to qualify for a zone in ways that were not possible prior to the legislative change. He continued that the difficult part as everyone moves through this is for everyone to understand that this is a completely collaborative effort and that Mr. Coil is there to support and help guide the County's efforts and to raise red flags where he feels is appropriate and to do a lot of the minutia that is involved with being awarded a zone.

Mr. Coil shared that it was interesting for him to drive around and tour the County earlier and see all of the different types of businesses and the disparity of location of the businesses. Many other communities have their business areas more compact, so the challenge will really be configuring the boundaries of the Enterprise Zone to benefit everyone that needs to be benefited to provide the greatest opportunity for growth in the future and at the say time not dilute the competitiveness of the application. Mr. Coil stated he thinks that can be done but everyone has to be judicious in the use of the zone and to focus on the areas that may be a little more prosperous and possibly leave some areas out during this initial application. A key factor in drawing the boundary lines is to stay focused on the core sectors that may have the most economic impact and there is always a possibility to amend boundaries at a later date after designation of a zone is awarded. Mr. Coiled shared that he really thinks DeKalb County has a good story to tell and that is important when it comes to an application, not just being data driven.

Mr. Coiled shared a proposed Enterprise Zone Application Timeline to the Committee and detailed what is needed to be done in the upcoming months to be able to successfully submit the final application package to the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity by November 1, 2014. The final deadline for application acceptance is December 1, 2014 and then it takes up to 9 months to find out the results of the application. The zones have an initial 15 year designation with a time-out for assessment of how the zone is performing and if okay there is an additional 10 years added for a total of a 25 year Enterprise Zone.

Mr. Cvek addressed if awarded an Enterprise Zone, what kind of ongoing administration is needed. Mr. Coil shared that there is a requirement to designate an Enterprise Zone Administrator locally, whether it be through a local EDC or creating an advisory board. The main function of an administrator or advisory board is basic record keeping and taking in documents to forward to the Department of Revenue.

## **VILLAGE OF SHABBONA – CLAUDIA HICKS & JERRY FOSTER**

Village of Shabbona Mayor Claudia Hicks and Economic Development Director, Jerry Foster came to talk to the Committee about economic development in the Village. Mayor Hicks has been the Mayor of the Village of Shabbona for 11 years now and before that had been the Clerk since 1972. The Mayor shared that the Village has had two new banks, one with a beautiful meeting room, in the last couple of years. A new flower shop has reopened, a karate school open, and their café is back in service. Mayor Hicks explained that about a year ago she appointed an Ad Hoc Committee for researching economic development and in that Committee they have a representative from any group that touches them or has any interest at all in the Shabbona area. One of the major projects they are working on is the Shabbona State Park and the Mayor passed

out a survey that was taken to assess the needs and wants of visitors to the State Park. The main suggestion they had seen was to have something there to do for the campers, besides fishing.

Mr. Foster explained that one of the first things the Ad Hoc Committee did was do a swat analysis. They had about 35 community leaders that were present and they determined that the overwhelming strength was the opportunity for tourism, not just for Shabbona but for all of DeKalb County. The Committee also determined was that their biggest weakness was a lack of communication with local businesses so they formed the Waterman/Shabbona Business Association. Right now that association consists of about 70 members and they are using this association to promote the area and to promote tourism in the communities.

Mr. Foster addressed the 2013 Village of Shabbona Economic Survey of Visitors to Shabbona Lake State Park. The initial intent of the park was for fishing and they feel that they have reached capacity with that but now are wanting to explore other uses and opportunities to help make the park more functional for all types of visitors. Mr. Foster touched on the possibilities of utilizing some of the trails that are adjacent to the Forest Preserve for mountain biking and off-road biking. He also addressed a large map that he brought to show the Committee where the Village of Shabbona sits in respects to the Shabbona State Park. Mr. Foster explained that there has been a Sub-Committee that has been addressing the creation of a 3.1 mile multi-use path that would connect: Shabbona schools, downtown businesses, Shabbona Lake State Park, Chief Shabbona Forest Preserve, Shabbona Golf Course, Lions Park, and local restaurants. They are currently waiting to reach their goal of \$100,000 with grant assistance to create this pathway. The main purpose of this path would be to connect the Village of Shabbona with the Shabbona Lake State Park.

The Committee continued to discuss the purposed multipurpose trail, the Potawatomi Tribe, camping at the Shabbona Lake State Park, horse trails, and the 204 acre industrial park located in the Village of Shabbona.

Mr. Cvek addressed the Committee and asked if there were any ways to look at assisting the Village of Shabbona with their local match for their grant for the multi-use path. Mr. Metzger agreed that that sounded like something they should revisit to see what they could do to help.

Lastly, Mr. Foster passed out an aerial view of a purposed beach location that would occupy up to a 1000 residents.

#### **COUNTY ASSESSMENT OFFICE ANNUAL REPORT – ROBIN BRUNSCHON**

Robin Brunshon, Chief County Assessment Officer presented the Committee with her annual report. She started her report with thanking her staff who she indicated always goes over and above and she also wanted to thank Mr. Hanson for working with her in sorting out some new projects to make more information available for tax payers.

Ms. Brunshon highlighted key points from her annual report in a power point presentation. She shared that the 2013 estimated assessed value is almost equal to the 2005 EAV and since the first installment of the 2013 tax bills were just mailed out her office has been very busy answering questions and helping seniors with senior freezes and exemptions.

There were a total of 42,527 parcels this year which she broke down into use for the Committee and Ms. Brunschon explained it was interesting to hear the previous presentations because the majority of DeKalb County's tax base is residential and if the County can obtain more industrial tax bases that will relieve some tax burdens on the residents. New construction has also gone down another 14% from 2012 to 2013 but sales and transactions have gone up since 2012. Ms. Brunschon also broke down Tiff District numbers for the Committee to examine. She shared an added handout that showed the equalized assessed value and exemptions from 2005 to 2013. Lastly, the Committee discussed the Township Assessors utilizing DEVNET to uniform the assessment process. The Committee thanked Ms. Brunschon for her annual update of the County Assessment Office.

### **PARTICIPATION IN GIVE DEKALB COUNTY DAY**

Mr. Pietrowski explained that The DeKalb County Nonprofit Partnership and the DeKalb County Community Foundation are partnering to raise support for local nonprofits and grow philanthropy in our community. Give Local DeKalb County is a national event with local impact. They are joining hundreds of communities across the country in a one-day on-line giving challenge on May 6 to raise funds for local causes. On May 6, between 12:01 a.m. and 11:59 p.m. you, along with donors from around the world, can log on to Give Local DeKalb County and make a donation to participating nonprofits. Donations will be amplified with \$20,000 matching funds from the DeKalb County Community Foundation distributed proportionately based upon donations per organization.

Mr. Pietrowski shared that looking at the nonprofits that are participating this year it seemed to be mostly just Sycamore and DeKalb participating. He suggested for next year, as a County Board Member, it may be advantageous to reach out to the nonprofit organizations in everyone's districts to encourage them to sign up for this day of giving. He also suggested that the County take part in the event and contribute funds from the Opportunity Fund.

Mr. Metzger agreed that the subject is worth discussion but wanted to be cautious that if giving funds to the day that they are not singling out any one nonprofit organization or a certain area of the County.

Mr. Cvek added that it may be more beneficial if the County helped find different ways to promote the event rather than just donate funds to it. The Committee agreed that it is worth a future discussion but promoting may be a better avenue to explore.

### **COMMUNITY FOUNDATION – “ADVANCING DEKALB COUNTY”**

Slightly tied in with the last item of business, Mr. Hanson introduced a discussion regarding a new Community Foundation program called Advancing DeKalb County. The strategy they are using is called “collective impact”. Collective impact occurs when decision-makers from different sectors agree to align their efforts and use common success measures to solve specific social problems. The goal is to identify a variety of initiatives that can be embraced and worked on by various levels of government, schools, and not-for-profits entities. This is a county-wide initiative and as such, DeKalb County Government has an opportunity to play a significant role in this endeavor Mr. Hanson explained.

Part of identifying as a community what the quality of life issues are to address is administering a county-wide survey. Mr. Hanson explained that of course to do that kind of survey, it takes money. The Community Foundation is partnering with the Department of Governmental Studies at NIU to do the survey. The cost for the survey is estimated to be about \$35,000. What they are suggesting is that all of the public bodies could help fund the survey cost and it be prorated based on population, which would be a \$5,000 contribution for the unincorporated area of DeKalb County. The incentive that they are offering is if you would consider contributing to the survey you can ask 3 or 4 survey questions of your own that would benefit your needs. The Community Foundation is looking to start this initiative by the end of May.

Mr. Hanson asked as a request would the Committee be willing to contribute \$5,000 to this type of survey that will hopefully have a big impact on the community for the future.

Mr. Metzger shared that he felt it was a good opportunity and there could be some really key issues that the County could ask in the survey to get the residents' input on. Mr. Hanson agreed that added this could be an opportunity to ask any questions regarding the jail expansion or any mental health issues but the biggest reason to do it would be for the benefit of the whole community to try and address some of these qualities of life. Mr. Reid questioned the usefulness of the survey and expressed his skepticism with surveys in general and the amount of money they cost that is invested in them.

**It was moved by Mr. Pietrowski, seconded by Mr. Metzger to forward a resolution to the Full County Board for approval to contribute \$5,000 from the Opportunity Fund to a county-wide survey being conducted by the DeKalb County Community Foundation for Advancing DeKalb County. The motion carried unanimously by voice vote.**

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

It was moved by Mr. Pietrowski, seconded by Mr. Metzger and it was carried unanimously to adjourn the meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Chairman Jeff Whelan

---

Tasha Stogsdill, Recording Secretary



### **Proposed Enterprise Zone Application Timeline**

**By June 15**, TDC will meet with local project leaders to discuss the status of the proposed Zone, begin the SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threat) analysis of the proposed Zone and begin identifying participating taxing bodies and preliminary boundaries of the Zone.

**No later than July 1, 2014**, TDC, with the assistance and guidance from DeKalb County Government and the DeKalb County EDC and related parties, will complete meetings with interested taxing body representatives in order to finalize intents to participate in the Zone abatement program and to obtain preliminary agreements on proposed ordinance and resolution language for future consideration and passage.

**No later than August 1, 2014**, TDC will finalize the first DRAFT of the labor market evaluation and other required datasets required to complete the application process.

**No later than August 15, 2014**, in partnership with DeKalb County Government and the DeKalb County EDC and related entities, TDC will finalize DRAFT Intergovernmental Agreements, Designating Ordinances and Resolutions and Property Tax Abatement Ordinances and Resolutions for review by applicable legal counsels prior to submission and passage.

**No later than September 15, 2014**, TDC will have draft economic impact language available for review by DeKalb County Government, the DeKalb County EDC and other related parties. Utilizing local or vendor resources, TDC will complete DRAFT mapping and preliminary legal descriptions of the proposed Zone.

**No later than October 1, 2014**, subject to receipt of all required information and legal authorizations locally, TDC will forward a DRAFT Application package for review by the DeKalb County Government, the DeKalb County EDC and other interested and related parties for review and comment.

**By no later than November 1, 2014**, subject to completion of all required steps above, as well as others that may be necessary after the start of the project, TDC will submit the final application package to the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity.

#### **J. Craig Coil**

Principal and COO

The Development Consortium, Inc.

19184 Pine Cone Ln.

Petersburg, Illinois 62675

888-586-3555 ext.102

ccoil@tdcconsult.com

## Village Of Shabbona Economic Survey Of Visitors To Shabbona Lake State Park

**2013**

An economic survey of additional Amenities, Activities and Attractions for visitors to Shabbona Lake State Park, was conducted at the request of the Economic Committee of the Shabbona Village Board. The survey was done online thru Google Docs and by copies handed out at Shabbona Lake State Park. The survey ran from July 22 thru August 11, 2013.

There were an amazing 594 responses in just 21 days. The survey was compiled from ideas that have been suggested, debated and explored in the past.

Several ideas were in the original master plan for the Park or have been around for over 30 years. As the Park grew in attendance and usage, many other ideas have been suggested and considered, but never acted on.

Which of these items, considered in the past, are viable today? The purpose of the survey is to identify possible economic opportunities for the growth of the Village of Shabbona. This is a preliminary survey intended to gather that information.

There are annually approximately 425,000 visitors to Shabbona Lake State Park. How better could they be served, so that they return more often, stay longer and enjoy all that the Shabbona area has to offer?

What additional Amenities, Activities and Attractions would the visitors be interested in? What business opportunities would this open up to potential investors? What jobs may be created?

As you will see the responses are quite interesting. Please keep in mind that this is an economic survey of potential opportunities. While the number of responses of "yes" to a particular question maybe low, that number of positive responses **MAY** make it economically feasible to do that item. Each question needs to be looked at independently.

In addition three questions were included:

What do you like about Shabbona Lake State Park?

What don't you like about Shabbona Lake State Park?

What additional ideas do you have?

A summary of those responses is included.

## Survey Results

### 1. How many times a year do you visit Shabbona Lake State Park?

Responses:

Once	127	22%	How do we increase return visits?
2-5	254	43%	
6-20	134	23%	
Over 20	75	13%	

### 2. How many miles do you travel to get to Shabbona Lake State Park?

Responses:

Less Than 5 Miles	43	7%	
5-20 Miles	104	18%	
21-50 Miles	255	43%	Note 75% are from more than 20+ Miles.
50+ Miles	188	32%	

### 3. How many hours, in a day, do you stay at Shabbona Lake State Park?

Responses:

1 Hour	31	5%
2-4 Hours	120	21%
Over 4 Hours	414	73%

### 4. Would you like to see a Lodge at Shabbona Lake State Park with overnight lodging?

Responses:

Yes	394	67%	Lots of requests & interest.
No	191	33%	



a) How many nights in a row would you stay in a Lodge at Shabbona Lake State Park?

Responses:

1 Night	180	40%	
2-5 Nights	254	57%	Longer stays, if more to do
Over 5 Nights	15	3%	

b) How many times a year would you stay in a Lodge at Shabbona Lake State Park?

Responses:

2-5 Times	393	95%	More often, if more to do
Over 5 Times	22	5%	

c) Would you like to see fine dining or a bar in the Lodge?

Responses:

Fine Dining	267	40%	Type of lodge
Bar	241	36%	
Neither	160	24%	

5. Banquet Facility – Weddings, Anniversary, Showers, Graduations, Reunion Parties?

Responses:

Yes	264	48%	Economically Feasible
No	284	52%	

a) How large of a banquet facility would you like to see?

Responses:

Up to 50 people	79	24%	
51-100 People	105	33%	Size of facility
101 – 150 People	75	23%	
150+ People	64	20%	

6. Would you like to see a swimming pool or beach or neither at Shabbona Lake State Park?

Responses:

Swimming Pool	227	32%	
Beach	271	39%	76% want water activity
Neither	201	29%	

7. Would you like to see gaming machines in the main concession Building?

Responses:

Yes	102	18%	Not Feasible
No	478	82%	

8. Enclosed Shelter House?

Responses:

Yes	414	72%	High Demand
No	158	28%	

9. Outdoor Amphitheater?

Responses:

Yes	294	51%	Very Feasible
No	282	49%	

a. Amphitheater Performances?

Responses:

Story Tellers	179	19%	Lots of opportunities
Native American History	197	21%	
The Life Of Chief Shabbona	178	19%	

Bands	213	23%
Comedy Acts	123	13%
Other	35	4%

10. Zip Line?

Responses:

Yes	239	42%	Economically Feasible
No	325	58%	

11. Off Road Bike Trail?

Responses:

Yes	383	68%	Public Demand
No	182	32%	

12. Kite Flying Contest?

Responses:

Yes	261	48%	Great Fundraising Opportunity For a Non Profit
No	288	52%	

13. Frisbee Golf?

Responses:

Yes	255	46%	Economically Feasible
No	302	54%	

14. Toboggan Hill?

Responses:

Yes	382	68%	Public Demand
No	182	32%	

15. On The Water Boat Storage?

Responses:

Yes	186	33%	Economically feasible, low number of slips available
No	374	67%	

16. RV or Boat Storage?

Responses:

Yes	198	36%	Economically feasible, due to popular campground
No	355	64%	

Camper Survey Summary

1. How many times a year do you camp at Shabbona Lake State Park Campground?

Responses

Once	127	23%	(44%)	How do we increase?
2-5 Times	137	25%	(47%)	
6-10 Times	17	3%	(6%)	
More Than 10 Times	9	2%	(3%)	
Not At All	255	47%		

2. How many nights do you stay?

Responses:

One	81	25%	Mainly weekenders,
2-3 Nights	211	66%	how do we get that to weekly?
4-7 Nights	26	8%	
Over 1 Week	3	1%	

3. Would you like to have water hookup at camp sites?

Responses:

Yes	321	78%	Public Demand
No	92	22%	

4. Would you like to see a swimming pool at Shabbona Lake State Park Campground?

Responses:

Yes	254	55%	A way to get campers to stay longer.
No	204	45%	

5. Is there a need to expand the Campground?

Responses:

Yes	188	44%	We are getting close to needing
No	239	56%	

6. Is there a need for more handicap sites?

Responses:

Yes	140	36%	Handicap sites going unused
No	252	64%	

7. Does the campground need more Cabins?

Responses:

Yes	260	60%	Public Demand
No	173	40%	

8. Does the campground need primitive sites?

Responses:

Yes	219	52%	Needs to be explored
No	205	48%	

9. Does the campground need larger RV sites?

Responses:

Yes	125	30%	Maybe needed
No	285	70%	

10. Should the campground have a Kid's Water Park Fountain Area?

Responses:

Yes	208	47%	Needed kid's activity
No	235	53%	

11. Would you like to see an arcade room in the Campground?

Responses:

Yes	144	32%	Borderline feasible
No	307	68%	

12. Would you like to see video gaming machines in the campground?

Responses:

Yes	80	17%	Not feasible
No	379	83%	

13. Should Shabbona Lake State Park Campground allow alcohol in the Campground?

Responses:

Yes	239	50%	May lose some, may gain some
No	243	50%	

14. Would you consider moving to Shabbona, Illinois?

Responses:

Yes	97	20%	Nice positive response to Shabbona
No	229	47%	
Maybe	162	33%	

## Summary Of Written Comments

Hundreds of comments about what a great Park we have. Beautiful, quiet, serene, escape, clean, nature, camping, restaurant, boat rental, staffed by people who care, polite, peaceful, everything, food, wildlife, friendly, trees, turkey, deer, pheasants, ice fishing, relaxing, affordable pricing, fishermen who care, tranquility, solitude, helpful, knowledgeable staffing, volunteers who help, ongoing habitat replacement, safe, peace, secluded, friendly employees, family oriented, remote, scenery, trails, keep doing what you are doing, 5 star lake.

If any attractions, activities or amenities are added, they must be done in a way to limit or minimize their effect on the atmosphere of the Park.

Alcohol in the campground – Both comments pro and con, concerns about loud parties & the fact that many already drink in the Campground.

Swimming - Positive comments more numerous

Leave the park as is – Several comments indicating this, don't turn it into a Wisconsin Dells -  
"What idiot thought this (survey) up !!!"

Need more to do, would come back more often if there was more to do than fish, particularly  
kid's activities – fishermen looking for something for wife and kid's or grandkid's to do also.

Crowded – Fishing can get crowded, but many felt that overall the Park was not crowded and  
needed more activities.

10 horse power limit – Both comments pro and con – need for more enforcement of 10 HP limit  
or no wake.

Bike path

Need for more grills

Pit toilets –a lot of negative comments

Video games – Most campgrounds have arcade rooms as a kid's activity, but many comments  
about get the Kid's outside.

Video gaming – mainly negative

Lodging – The farther away the respondent lived, the more interest there was. If done it needs  
to fit in with the surroundings and not be too large. Those that live close, liked it for family visitors or  
relatives to stay. No place to stay for people who don't camp.

Campground – Loved the set up, issues with roads, pit toilets, tree overhangs and maybe a  
second shower building – More Cabins needed – more kid's activities – reduce the 7 day advance  
registration to 48 hours, like Wisconsin has.

Goose Droppings

Horse riding

Park roads need upgrading


Disregard for speed limits on roads

Garbage left on shorelines and in lake


Fish cleaning station

More development downtown – trees, benches, attractive stores, eating opportunities





Current View of  
Proposed Beach Location



**Parking Lot Addition**

**Parking Lot Expansion**

Current Parking Lot  
Approx 73,808 Sq Ft

Remove Islands in Parking Lot  
Additional 13,845 Sq Ft  
18.7 Percent Increase

Remove Islands in  
Parking Lot Plus Additional  
15,933 Square Foot Parking Lot  
Totalling and Additional 29,778 Sq Ft  
40.4 Percent Increase

**Proposed Beach**

**Grey - Building Addition** (Changing Area,  
Showers,  
Toilet Facilities)  
2,171 Square Feet

**Orange - Sand Beach**  
32,545 Square Feet  
Beach Capacity 32,545/35 = 929 people  
380 Feet of Beach Shoreline  
Max Depth of Beach 120'

**Blue - Swimming Area**  
25,022 Square Feet  
Swim Capacity 25,022/25 = 1,000 People  
Max Distance from shore 120'  
Current Depth at 120' is 6' 3"

**White - Sidewalk**  
794 Feet of Sidewalk

**Swimming Area**  
6'3" Deep

**Beach Area**



# Equalized Assessed Value 2005 - 2013

Assessed values come from the Assessment at  
year end.  
Exemptions are as of 12/31 of the assessment  
year and come from the County Clerk.

9	<b>1,954,258,211</b>	
	<b>2013</b> Exemptions = 169,461,656	Less Exemptions = 1,784,796,555
8	<b>2,104,357,701</b>	
	<b>2012</b> Exemptions = 170,567,440	Less Exemptions = 1,933,790,261
7	<b>2,295,890,773</b>	
	<b>2011</b> Exemptions = 180,337,575	Less Exemptions = 2,115,553,198
6	<b>2,431,281,878</b>	
	<b>2010</b> Exemptions = 188,533,845	Less Exemptions = 2,242,748,033
5	<b>2,537,257,142</b>	
	<b>2009</b> Exemptions = 196,411,181	Less Exemptions = 2,340,845,961
4	<b>2,501,802,166</b>	
	<b>2008</b> Exemptions = 183,576,885	Less Exemptions = 2,318,225,281
3	<b>2,358,766,883</b>	
	<b>2007</b> Exemptions = 163,143,570	Less Exemptions = 2,195,623,313
2	<b>2,121,224,767</b>	
	<b>2006</b> Exemptions = 151,293,697	Less Exemptions = 1,969,931,070
1	<b>1,908,070,316</b>	
	<b>2005</b> Exemptions = 141,003,187	Less Exemptions = 1,767,067,129