

Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.

DeKalb County Government
Sycamore, Illinois

**Law & Justice Committee Minutes
October 20, 2014**

The Law and Justice Committee of the DeKalb County Board met on Monday, October 20, 2014 at 6:30 p.m. in the Administration Building's Conference Room East.

Chairman Frieders called the meeting to order. Those present were Mr. Cvek, Ms. Leifheit, Mr. Oncken, Ms. Polanco, Mrs. Tobias and Mrs. Turner. All seven members were present.

Others present were Gary Hanson, Pete Stefan, Tom McCulloch, Margi Gilmour, Richard Schmack, Lt. Joyce Klein, Sheriff Scott, Lt. Andrew Sullivan, Mark Pietrowski, Steve Reid, Janie Torma, and Pat McMahan.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Moved by Mrs. Turner, seconded by Mr. Oncken and it was carried unanimously to approve the minutes from September 15, 2014.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

It was moved by Mrs. Tobias, seconded by Mrs. Turner and it was carried unanimously by voice vote to approve the agenda.

PUBLIC DEFENDER'S REPORT – MR. THOMAS McCULLOCH

Public Defender, Tom McCulloch and the Committee reviewed the monthly Public Defenders report and Mr. McCulloch pointed that there is a possibility of the opened case filings to be slightly under-reported but by next month the numbers should be closer to reality. Regardless, Mr. McCulloch indicated to the Committee that they have still been doing better with have slightly more cases closed than opened.

COURT SERVICES AUGUST REPORTS – MS. MARGI GILMOUR

Ms. Gilmour shared that her monthly reports with the Committee and highlighted that they had a spike in juvenile detention admissions in the month of September. Eighteen were detained, three of which were detained twice; this was a record for the year so far.

There are two young men that remain in residential placement. One young man, they are hoping to get moved into an independent living program and is currently on the waiting list for that facility.

Ms. Gilmour reviewed her adult report quickly that displayed there were 33 new cases assigned and 17 discharged in the month of September. There were 8,435 hours ordered through

community services about 5,129 hours completed. For the juveniles there were 194 hours ordered and 199 hours completed.

Mr. Oncken asked how the residential placement budget looked. Ms. Gilmour shared that she did not feel that they were over budget yet, but thinks they will come very close. The Committee also briefly discussed the recidivism rate with residential placement and all other avenues are tried before a youth is placed in residential placement.

JAIL REPORT

Sheriff Roger Scott joined the Committee and went over the two page jail population report for the month of September. He also shared that the average population for the jail this year has been 143 inmates and the population as of the morning of October 20th there was 133 inmates in custody.

SHERIFF'S COMMUNICATION CENTER REPORT

Sheriff Scott shared with the Committee that as they agreed upon at the last meeting, he and the Chiefs of Police of the rural towns met on October 1st at the Sheriff's Office. Hinckley Chief Waitkus, Waterman Chief Breese, and Sheriff Scott agreed upon the agenda and the location of the meeting. Sheriff Scott continued that the meeting was attended by 11 individuals, representing 8 agencies including the Sheriff. The one of the meeting was professional, cooperative, and he believed productive. In summary, they agreed upon the proposal that was submitted to the Law & Justice Committee on September 15th. They also discussed the method of allocation for next year and the consensus was that in 2015 a Communications Advisory Committee would be appointed and/or authorized by the Law & Justice Committee. The purpose of the Committee would be to review the overall costs of the Sheriff's Communication Center and to recommend an equitable method of allocation of those costs to the Law & Justice Committee with the understanding that the final decision rest with the County Board.

Sheriff Scott reiterated that his relationship with all the of the Chief remains good and that since the meeting he had spoken with Village of Somonauk Mayor, Aaron Grandgeorge and confirmed that they have yet to make any final decisions or commitments because they are also in talks with Kendall County.

There were no additional questions for Sheriff Scott regarding the Communications Center and the Committee was appreciative of the work and collaboration that went into handling this issue.

STATE'S ATTORNEY APPELLATE PROSECUTOR RESOLUTION

State's Attorney, Richard Schmack approach the Committee with an annual resolution for participation in the service program of the Office of the State's Attorney Appellate Prosecutor for Fiscal Year 2015. The appropriation is for the sum of \$24,000 and has already been budgeted for. Mr. Schmack indicated that this year there was a \$4,000 increase, which is the first increase that has occurred in the last eight years. The increase was also non-negotiable.

It was moved by Mr. Oncken, seconded by Mr. Cvek and it was carried unanimously by voice vote to forward the resolution to the Full County Board for approval.

Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.

NEUTRAL EXCHANGE PROGRAM

Mr. Hanson shared that he had been working with Family Service Agency to come to an agreement and understanding on how to flow the money for the Neutral Exchange Program. He indicated that in general terms, Mr. Stefan and he would rather hold off a little longer, but Family Service Agency would like the funds a little faster so the document the Committee had in front of them is a compromise between the Agency and the County. Mr. Hanson explained that revenues are about half of what everyone had first anticipated when the fee for this program was first talked about and Family Service Agency is trying to decide if they can fully run the program on \$24,000 annually. The Agency has indicated they feel they need what the County has collected since July 2014 in order to make 2015 work. The County has agreed that they would help provide five months but need to keep at least one month's worth of fees for cash flow purposes. The continued concern is whether they will be able to continue to operate the program in 2016 but added there is a caveat that if the 2016 amount is not acceptable to the Family Service Agency, this agreement may be terminated as of December 31, 2015. If agreed upon, Mr. Hanson shared that the draft before the Committee would be turned into a resolution and forwarded to the Full County Board in November.

The Committee discussed the reasons behind why the revenues are now only half of what was originally predicted. This was mostly due to the number of filings that have gone down in DeKalb County, fee waivers, and originally they were unaware that some civil filings are exempt from certain filing fees.

Chairman Frieders asked if Mr. Hanson thought it was wise to initiate this program if there is uncertainty of whether or not it will be sustainable. Mr. Hanson agreed that was a good question and one that he discussed with the Agency because a lot of work goes into initiating a program like this, but the trade-off is some children and families could be helped for at least one year. Also, Family Service Agency's hope is that the case filings will start to increase again so that they will be able to continue on with the program. They will also be looking at donations and fundraisers.

Mr. Cvek indicated that his fear from the beginning has been the County would get into a situation where the fees would eventually not be enough to sustain the program and they have to come back and start looking into General Fund money. He also expressed that he felt they might have gotten a little ahead of themselves with the best of intentions and if they aren't going to be positioning themselves for success in the long term, then they are in a more advantageous position to pull the plug right now and wait to see if case filing increase.

Mr. Oncken shared that if Family Service Agency wants to try and make the program work, and know that the risk is on them, then it is worth a try to go forward with the program.

Mr. Oncken moved to forward a resolution to the Full County Board for approval in November. Mrs. Turner seconded the motion. Those voting yea were Ms. Leifheit, Mr. Oncken, Ms. Polanco, Mrs. Tobias, Mrs. Turner, and Chairman Frieders. Mr. Cvek opposed. Motion carried.

Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.

BUDGET APPEALS APPLICABLE TO THE LAW & JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Chairman Frieders asked, if it were agreeable to the rest of the Committee, he would like to hear all of the appeals and then come back and vote on each one individually. The Committee agreed.

New Adult Probation Officer Position & New Probation Supervisor Position

Ms. Gilmour indicated that one thing she wanted to point out to the Committee is she has applied for additional funding from the Administrative Office of Illinois Courts (AOIC). She was hoping that she would hear from them prior to the meeting for the status of the application she submitted but she had yet to hear from them. The Supreme Court of Illinois approved an \$8 million set-aside to increase the probation workforce. The funds shall be used to reinstate or create positions to fill in gaps in personnel and to manage/supervise high risk caseloads. She submitted the application to the AOIC on September 15th requesting funding for a total of 7 positions. If approved, it would be added to the annual allocation the County receives from the AOIC and would be considered a permanent source of funding for salaries. Ms. Gilmour reiterated that she was expected to hear a reply to the applications for funding by early October and she tried to get an answer prior to the meeting but was unsuccessful. She shared the requests she asked for full salary for the new Adult Probation Officer Position and for the New Probation Supervisor Position, although they do not assist with benefits. In addition, she also asked for two Pre-Trial Officers, two Intensive Probation Officers, and a Drug Court Officer because all of those positions deal with high risk clients. If she were to get funding for all of the positions that she requested she would be looking at getting an additional \$282,748. The current annual allocation from AOIC totals \$222,179. Ms. Gilmour suggested to see if it were possible to table her appeals for a couple weeks until she can try and get any answer regarding her applications to the AOIC.

The Committee discussed the uncertainty of the pending requests and the possibility of acquiring additional funds that would offset the budget if Ms. Gilmour was to receive the maximum allocation amount of \$282,748. She clarified that the County is currently 100% funding her two Pre-Trial Officers, two Intensive Probation Officers, and a Drug Court Officer. If she were to be granted her 7 position request, that could completely fund her 2 new position requests as well as minimize her impact on the General Fund.

Mr. Oncken indicated that because it is too unknown right now, the Committee look at the possibility of tabling Ms. Gilmour's appeals and potentially meet prior to the Finance Committee Meeting on November 5th to address this matter when they have a better idea of what funds may be available. Chairman Frieders agreed that the appeals are too big of an impact on the budget to make any decisions under the current scenario.

Ms. Gilmour apologized that she was unable to provide the Committee with any information she contacted the AOIC multiple time to try and get an answer and was unsuccessful.

Mr. Hanson noted that if money comes in for some of the positions but not necessarily the new positions that Ms. Gilmour is requesting, the Committee can use that money for other possibility higher priority positions (i.e.: Sheriff's Correction Office).

Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.

Mr. Cvek countered that if they were not to approve Ms. Gilmour's appeals and money from the AOIC were to still come in, they could potentially use that money to help draw down their utilization of the Fund Balance. Mr. Hanson answered that was correct, unless that money were to come in to be allocated to fund one of the new positions in the appeals, then they would be restricted to use it for that purpose. Mr. Hanson also pointed out that benefits on these positions would be \$32,000 for the Supervisor position and \$30,000 for the Adult Probation Officer. They budget for family insurance because they don't know who will be hired.

Mr. Oncken said as an alternative they could approve the appeals subject on the AOIC funding them, but after hearing that the allocations from AOIC could be used elsewhere if hard decisions need to be made, he would prefer to wait to make a decision on Ms. Gilmour's appeals until they have more information. The rest of the Committee agreed.

Mr. Cvek moved to table Ms. Gilmour's appeals for a New Adult Probation Officer Position and a New Probation Supervisor Position until Ms. Gilmour receives additional information on her applications to the AOIC. Mr. Oncken seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

New Level 2 Assistant Public Defender Position

Mr. McCulloch shared that Legislature approved a new Judge to be filled by appointment to the 23rd Judicial Circuit in DeKalb County which would make a new courtroom. The intentions of the new courtroom is to designate one Judge to hear domestic violence cases as well as DUI cases. Mr. McCulloch shared that a Level 2 Assistant Public Defender would permit the staffing of the new Judge's courtroom as well as the enhanced demand of enlarging the Juvenile Abuse call. Due to the reconfiguration of the criminal cases, this attorney would be expected to be able to handle cases from misdemeanors up through Class X Felonies, which is why he is requesting a level 2 position.

The Committee shared their position of having to be fiscally conservative especially in this Fiscal Year considering the Finance Committee is working on cutting an additional \$100,000 from the budget. Mr. McCulloch also reiterated the additional burden that the added Judge and courtroom will have on his staff. The Committee also took a closer look at a copy of the proposed judicial calendar which reflects the additional Judge and courtroom.

Chairman Frieders noted that the County has limited funds, the County has a set amount of funds, and Mr. Hanson and Mr. Stefan determined that the priority was not here with this positions. Budgeting is priority process and if they felt this was high enough on the priority list they should have taken the money from somewhere else to fund this position.

The Committee also threw around thoughts of trying to find additional revenue sources for the Public Defender's Office in order to help fund the addition of a new position.

Salary Review for Professional Staff in Public Defender's Office

Mr. McCulloch explained that the Public Defender's Office has had several experiences with neighboring counties and has been at a competitive disadvantage as a result of salary structure. He shared information showing other Public Defender staff and State's Attorney staff with

Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.

comparable experience in DeKalb County and other neighboring counties to show that he feels his staff is being seriously underpaid. This has been a problem for many years but has been particularly apparent this year after he lost two staff members to neighboring counties.

The Committee and Mr. McCulloch discussed who the comparable counties were to DeKalb County in order to be able to assess a good comparison for salaries. It was also noted that a great comparison is the State's Attorney's, which are the people that they go to court with. Mr. Hanson commented that the State's Attorney's Office has a little more flexibility with salaries because he is an elected official and that is where some of the disparity in the two offices comes from.

Mr. McCulloch also explained that Administration has addressed the problem of salary disparity by deferring the problem and making any movement dependent upon changes in the Court Security Fund, which would potentially free up money from the General Fund to allocate approximately \$45,000 to the Public Defender's Office. Mr. McCulloch indicated that the Public Defender's Office has no logical or legal connection to such a fund so he wanted to appeal that because it seems inappropriate to make any funding decisions based on unrelated legislative changes.

Two New Correction Officers

Sheriff Scott and Lt. Klein joined the Committee to appeal the denial of Two New Correction Officers. Sheriff Scott shared that in 2007 the full time equivalent Corrections Officers were 28 and there was an average jail population of 102 inmates. In 2014 there are 27 full time equivalent Corrections Officers and the average jail population is 143. In addition they use Electronic Home Monitoring Deputies to supplement Corrections Officers for transports and use Patrol and Detectives to supplement Corrections Officers when guarding inmates during extended hospitalizations.

The Sheriff continued that each day despite the fact that we house inmates in other jails, we still have in our jail on an average of 80-85 inmates these of course include 30 inmates with mental health issues and/or physical health issues. The functional capacity of a jail the size of DeKalb County is actually 72 beds, the current jail has 89 beds. This means to run the jail in an effective and secure manner for staff and inmates, they should only be using 72 inmates. Sheriff Scott added they may need to house more inmates elsewhere in order to approach a more workable population, thus reducing stress factors on staff and maintain security with the negative effects of increasing the overall costs on inmate housing and transports.

The Sheriff also pointed out that overtime related to staffing has exceeded 2000+ hours in 2013, and is estimated to exceed that in projected 2014.

Sheriff Scott shared that he has thought about coming to speak to the Committee ever since he turned in his appeal because he understands the hard position the Committee is in to make budget cuts. Although, then he thinks about the mornings he comes on the air and a corrections unit is in route from Kendall to Boone with 6-8 inmates coming back to the County, being transported by only one deputy and he asks: How is that safe? It is too much and it is too risky, the Sheriff added, and just because there is no headline in the newspaper now, doesn't mean it could not happen.

Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.

Lt. Klein detailed for the Committee some harsh realities that have recently happened in the jail within the last two weeks and explained in detail how those events impact the staff and the jail as a whole. The two new deputies will not completely solve all of these problems, they should be asking for four but they are strongly asking for two new Deputies to maintain and try to improve in areas that cause the most stress on their Deputies, and jail operations.

The Committee discussed where the two Correction Officers would be placed if they were awarded and also the possibilities of housing more prisoners outside of the County. Mr. Cvek asked how it would potentially impact staffing if they were to go to 12 hour shifts in Corrections like they did with Patrol. The Sheriff indicated that it had been discussed and his answer was no because of the amount of stress they are put under and 12 hours is too long for a Corrections shift.

Additional 1% Pay Increase for Sheriff's Office Management Staff

Sheriff Scott shared this appeal was to provide an additional 1% pay increase for non-union management staff to be on pace with MAP union positions. The Sheriff also noted that he would use his Law Enforcement Project Fund to pay for this increase. Mr. Cvek wanted assurance from the Sheriff that he would be funding this increase in perpetuity and it would not be a burden on the General Fund in future years. The Sheriff said that is correct.

Children's Waiting Room Funding

Janie Torma and Pat McMahon from the Children's Waiting Room joined to the Committee and wanted to emphasize that they were not there to ask the County for any General Fund money. They were present to ask for the County Board to raise their collected fee from \$5 to \$10 pursuant to statute 705ILCS105/27.7 in order to provide additional funding to avoid cuts in services. The ladies provided the Committee with additional information from research they did on neighboring counties.

Mr. Oncken commented that he admits he has not always been the biggest fan of the County supporting the Children's Waiting Room, but he would be in support of providing additional revenue to them by a fee raising perspective.

It was moved by Mr. Oncken, seconded by Ms. Leifheit and it was moved unanimously by voice vote to approve the Children's Waiting Room Funding appeal.

The Committee took a five minute recess.

Additional 1% Pay Increase for Sheriff's Office Management Staff

Chairman Frieders said that he would first like to address the Sheriff's 1% increase appeal because it was not as controversial in nature. Chairman Frieders asked the Committee if there was any additional discussions they would like to have regarding the appeal.

Mr. Oncken noted that he would be in favor of the appeal because Sheriff Scott has identified a revenue source, it does not affect the General Fund, and in terms of equity he thinks it is appropriate to approve it.

Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.

It was moved by Mr. Oncken, seconded by Mr. Cvek to approve the Sheriff's appeal to approve an additional 1% pay increase for management staff in the Sheriff's Office.

Chairman Frieders invited Mr. Hanson and Mr. Stefan to provide any comments they would like regarding approving the appeal.

Mr. Hanson noted that he would echo what Mr. Oncken stated, the Sheriff came forward with his own funding source, which is what Administration asked for, so he would be in favor of approving the appeal as well.

The appeal was moved unanimously by voice vote.

Chairman Frieders noted that the rest of the appeals may take some additional discussion, they all fall in about the same category, and will make a large impact on the budget. He also shared he certainly sees the merit in all of them and he understands the situation that everyone is in and he doesn't fault anyone. He shared his problem is he hopes that everyone presenting appeals understands the situation that they are in, they certainly have major budget problems. He also feels that they are in a position that they have to get the budget under control and he welcomed comments from any other Committee members.

Mr. Oncken shared that he had a lot of thoughts running through his head, one of which is for the same reason he thinks it might be prudent to wait on Ms. Gilmour's appeals, he thinks it might be prudent to wait on some of the other appeals as well until they obtain further information from the AOIC requests. He shared that there were a couple of different options that they could choose from: if all seven requests were filled, Ms. Gilmour could fund all her positions through that and the remainder of the money could help relieve some burden on the General Fund, or they could use some of the money to fund some of the proposed appeals. So he thinks that having a revenue source that is in limbo makes it difficult to make a decision. If the rest of the Committee has those same thoughts, he suggested that the discussion they may want to have is how they would like to prioritize the appeals if they do have additional funds to allocate.

Chairman Frieders noted that he didn't mind delaying their decision a little bit if they are able to prioritize the appeals. He would like to be able to prioritize the appeals to be able to get back together in a short meeting and decide what they want to approve and what they can't.

Mr. Cvek shared that he personally feels that the chances are slim to none that all the Ms. Gilmour's requests are going to be funded but even if they do use that money as a source of funding it isn't going to increase each year to cover salary increases so he doesn't look at it as a permanent funding source for any of the appeals. He continued to share his views and reminded that Finance Committee has committed to cut an additional \$100,000 from the proposed budget so anything added is going to negate any savings that the Finance Committee felt was prudent.

Chairman Frieders asked if the Committee if they wanted to proceed forward with prioritizing the appeals in case there is additional funds that are award through the AOIC requests. Mr. Cvek commented that he doesn't see how there would be any potential savings in awarding any of the positions because there would still be benefits that would have to be subsidized by the General Fund.

Mr. Hanson commented that one advantage of prioritizing the appeals is if Finance Committee does cut \$100,000 and the Board still thinks that using \$800,000 in reserves is acceptable, then Finance/County Board could allocate the \$100,000 to help fund one of the appealed positions.

Mr. Cvek noted that he thought that defeated the purpose of Finance cutting the money in the first place.

Mrs. Tobias and Mrs. Turner both stated that money would be available then to help out. Mrs. Tobias continued that the safety issue in the jail has got to be giving everyone headaches.

Chairman Frieders noted again he would like to prioritize the appeals. Mr. Hanson commented that there were really four items to prioritize, they could split the Sheriff's request for 2 New Correction Officers because 1 may be a higher priority. Mrs. Turner agreed and discussed the added stress all these departments and staff endure because of the type of work they are doing.

Mrs. Tobias noted that her top priority would be 1 Correction Officer. Chairman Frieders agreed that their priority should be the jail.

Mr. Cvek shared that he thought it was an unfair position to try and sit there and choose favorites without knowing a number of what they have got to work with. He understands why everyone needs what they need but he felt it boils down to what the County can afford.

Mr. Oncken noted that if there is savings from the additional cuts that Administration is making then it certainly opens the discussion up more.

Mr. Oncken shared that he works directly with the Public Defender's staff, there is no direct incentive for him, but he sees what they do and he knows that a little bit of increase to them would go a long way towards how they feel in parity with their peers and State's Attorney's Office. He also noted it would be easier to deny an additional Public Defender, which looks likely with the priorities they are facing, but it will be easier to ask to current Public Defenders to do a little more if they give them a little bit of additional salaries.

Mr. Cvek noted that there is precedent that when funds are available the County Board will come through and help, but right now, the funds just aren't there.

The Committee discussed how they wanted to go forward and it was discussed that if enough money came through from the AOIC requests, Ms. Gilmour's would be granted her two positions and they would then look at their highest priority position which was noted to be 1 Correction Officer.

Mr. Oncken shared that he agreed that the 1 Correction Officer is the top priority and if they can fund it with funds from the AOIC that would be great, he noted if it can partly be funded through the grant, then the rest may be taken from reserves.

Mr. Hanson asked if he would be correct in saying: the Committee would approve these appeals contingent on the Finance Committee identifying the revenues and your priorities are Public Defender Salaries, Correction Officer A, Correction Officer B, and then a Level 2 Assistant Public Defender.

Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.

Mr. Cvek commented that the only person that put that money on the table was Mr. Hanson. Chairman Frieders said that was never approved.

Mr. Hanson said he didn't say the Committee approved it, that is what he was hearing while he was listening to everyone at the table.

Chairman Frieders said he didn't think anyone ever said anything like that. Mrs. Turner noted that she would agree with Mr. Hanson's statement. Mrs. Tobias noted that was the gist of what the Committee was saying.

Mr. Hanson continued that what he was hearing was the Committee doesn't want to remove these appeals as possibilities if money is available later on. Mrs. Tobias commented that was correct.

Mr. Cvek reiterated that Finance Committee has directed staff to find an additional \$100,000 to cut from the proposed budget and the only way to do that is deny appeals. You can't add spending on one side and expect they are going to come in \$100,000 less than what was out there before.

Mr. Hanson shared that the Finance Committee didn't know what all the appeals were going to come back to them. And you can't assume that the \$100,000 was to reduce the reserve fund, it was maybe to have \$100,000 available for any appeals that came through.

Mr. Cvek felt that discussion has always been about reducing the reserve by \$100,000. Mr. Hanson added it has been by a couple people on that Committee but it hasn't been stated by everyone on the Committee.

Mr. Cvek said they could not reduce it at all and just keep spending and wonder what they are going to do in two years.

Mr. Hanson shared he thinks that they presented a very reasonable budget but there are also some very reasonable needs that are out there.

Mr. Cvek said in the reasonable budget that staff presented, these positions were not approved so then they should just deny them right there because why delay the inevitable. If staff thinks that those positions should be denied, then it should be the motion that they deny them.

Mr. Hanson explained that he was trying to restate what he was hearing and seeing in different languages at the table.

Chairman Frieders stated right now Mr. Hanson was trying to impose his will upon the Committee. Mr. Hanson stated he will impose his recommendations.

Chairman Frieders stated staff should have saved them all the work and approved the positions when they were requested. Mr. Hanson expressed that he has additional information since the budget was first presented.

Steve Reid, Chairman of the Finance Committee, commented that the \$100,000 is not set in stone, it is still a recommendation at this point.

Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.

Chairman Frieders commented to Mr. Hanson that he changed the whole tone of the meeting by imposing his will upon the Committee. The Chairman noted that the Committee was close to coming together. Mr. Hanson shared he was trying to help move the meeting along by summarizing. It didn't help, the Chairman said.

Salary for Professional Staff in Public Defender's Office

Mr. Oncken moved to approve additional funding in the sum of \$45,000 to the Public Defender's Office to be allocated by the Public Defender and Judiciary. Mrs. Turner seconded the motion. Those voting yea were Ms. Leifheit, Mr. Oncken, Ms. Polanco, Mrs. Tobias, and Mrs. Turner. Mr. Cvek and Chairman Frieders opposed. Motion carried.

Chairman Frieders indicated he thought that they were going to prioritize everything and now Mr. Oncken is changing his whole tune. Mr. Oncken shared he didn't think that they are going to get anywhere because tensions are raised.

Chairman Frieders noted that it was a civil discussion until Mr. Hanson got involved. He continued that he thought everything was moving along fine on track until Mr. Hanson wanted to impose his will upon the Committee.

Mr. Oncken moved to table the appeals for a New Level 2 Assistant Public Defender Position, Two New Corrections Officers, and the Committee reconvene November 5th at 6 p.m. prior to the Finance Committee Meeting. Mrs. Tobias seconded the motion. The motion was carried with one opposition from Chairman Frieders.

BUDGET REVIEW FOR DEPARTMENTS REPORTING TO THE LAW & JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Mr. Oncken moved to table this item until the same November 5th meeting. Mrs. Tobias seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Mr. Oncken, seconded by Mr. Cvek, and it was carried unanimously to adjourn the meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Chairman John Frieders

Tasha Stogsdill, Recording Secretary

**DEKALB COUNTY ADULT COURT SERVICES
MONTHLY REPORT
FISCAL YEAR 2014**

PROBATION

	JAN.	FEB.	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEPT.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	Total
PSI's Ordered	2	9	6	6	6	3	6	4	4				
PSI's Completed	5	5	7	5	6	4	5	6	3				
New Active Cases	17	20	17	9	28	41	36	52	33				
Felony	337	327	326	325	323	326	330	336	337				
Misdemeanor	138	139	138	134	138	140	145	133	129				
DUI	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0				
Traffic	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Active Caseload	478	469	466	461	462	467	476	470	467				
Pending Intakes	171	193	207	234	231	214	193	153	158				
Total Active Caseload	649	662	673	695	693	681	669	623	625				
Administrative Cases	877	885	891	897	883	878	917	917	904				
Transfer In Cases	7	8	13	11	6	15	7	8					
Transfer Out Cases	8	8	9	7	14	11	12	24	8				
Tech Viol. Reported	35	23	28	19	23	20	19	41	30				
Crim. Viol. Reported	10	21	22	9	17	12	20	23	18				
Tech. - No Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0				
Tech. - Finding Viol.	1	7	8	3	7	6	3	2	15				
Crim. - No Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0				
Crim. - Finding Viol.	1	8	2	1	4	1	5	2	6				
Successful Terminations	6	19	23	7	14	11	6	16	17				

COMMUNITY RESTITUTION SERVICE

	JAN.	FEB.	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEPT.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	TOTAL
# Adults Referred	69	81	80	88	79	80	102	122	77				
# Hours Ordered	7,160	9,637	9,842	10,808	8,655	9,675	10,980	14,035	8,435				
# Hours Completed	5,918	6,000	5,774	6,229	7,165	5,977	6,443	6,448	5,129				

# Juveniles Referred	5	12	11	13	14	8	8	15	6				
# Hours Ordered	255	540	380	460	500	370	490	593	194				
# Hours Completed	243	255	253	532	252	371	302	345	199				

JUVENILE PLACEMENT/DETENTION REPORT

PLACEMENT

	<u>Jan. 14</u>	<u>Feb. 14</u>	<u>Mar. 14</u>	<u>Apr. 14</u>	<u>May 14</u>	<u>June 14</u>	<u>July 14</u>	<u>Aug. 14</u>	<u>Sept. 14</u>	<u>Oct. 14</u>	<u>Nov. 14</u>	<u>Dec. 14</u>
Residential placements at beginning of mo.	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Minors placed during the month	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Minors released during the month	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Minors in residential placement at end of mo.	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			

DETENTION

	<u>Jan. 14</u>	<u>Feb.14</u>	<u>Mar. 14</u>	<u>Apr 14</u>	<u>May 14</u>	<u>June14</u>	<u>July 14</u>	<u>Aug. 14</u>	<u>Sept. 14</u>	<u>Oct. 14</u>	<u>Nov.14</u>	<u>Dec. 14</u>
Minors in detention at beginning of month	2	2	2	3	2	5	4	2	3			
Minors detained during the month	5	5	7	8	11	8	6	7	18			
Minors released during the month	5	5	6	9	8	9	8	6	17			
Minors in detention at end of month	2	2	3	2	5	4	2	3	4			
Average daily population for mo.admissions	1	<1	1	1	3.5	1.5	1	2	4			
Average length of stay for mo. admissions	6 days	4 days	4 days	3.5 days	10 days	5 days	6.5 days	7.5 days	6.5 days			

DEKALB COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE JAIL POPULATION REPORT SEPTEMBER 2014

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION

140

Average Number Housed Out

46

<u>SEX</u>		<u>TYPE OF CRIME</u>		<u>COURT STATUS</u>		<u>PERIODIC IMPRISONMENT</u>	
<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>Felony</i>	<i>Misdemeanor</i>	<i>Sentenced</i>	<i>Pre-Sentenced</i>	<i>Week-ends</i>	<i>Work Release</i>
354	92	231	215	70	376	0	2

MONTHLY TRANSPORTS

	Number of Transports	Total Man Hours
<i>General</i>	29	100
<i>Medical – Mental</i>	14 / 1	22 / 2
<i>Jail Overcrowding</i>	60	120
<i>Juvenile</i>	14	34
<i>Totals</i>	118	278

DOC

	Male	Female
<i>Sentenced</i>	14	2
<i>Parole</i>	2	0
<i>Totals</i>	16	2

MONTHLY INMATE POPULATION STATISTICS

	NON-SENTENCED		REGULAR SENTENCED		WEEK-ENDERS		WORK RELEASE		EHM (ADULTS)	
	Number of Bookings	Total Days Held	Number of Bookings	Total Days Held	Number of Bookings	Total Days Held	Number of Bookings	Total Days Held	Average For Month	Total Days Held
<i>Male</i>	209	2930	51	694	0	0	1	16	-	-
<i>Female</i>	74	393	10	169	0	0	0	0	-	-
<i>Totals</i>	283	3323	61	863	0	0	1	16	36	1076

Average Daily Population: The average number of inmates in the jail for the month. Counts each day a person was in the Jail and includes all people booked into the jail.

Monthly Transports: “Jail Overcrowding” represents transports related to jail overcrowding which would include the transport to and from the other facility, transports for court if they are a pre-sentenced inmate, and any other transport which may be necessary.

DOC: 1) Sentenced: Represents the number of inmates transported to the Department of Corrections because they were sentenced to prison for a period of time.

2) Parole: Represents the number of inmates transported to the Department of Corrections because they were arrested on a new charge, on parole and returned to DOC to face their parole violation.

EHM: The numbers reflect only adults on Electronic Home Monitoring for the month. Juveniles would not be housed in the County Jail.

10/9/2014

	12 Months FY2014	12 Month: FY2014	12 Months FY2014	12 Month: FY2014	12 Months FY 2013	12 Month: FY2013	12 Months FY2013	12 Months FY2013
	Number of Inmates	Inmate Days	Rental Cost	Transport Trips	Number of Inmates	Inmate Days	Rental Cost	Transport Trips
January	74	1,712	102,720	57	59	1,315	78,900	53
February	89	1,631	97,860	63	66	1,204	72,240	59
March	77	1,308	96,480	70	60	1,188	71,280	65
April	55	1,013	60,780	54	76	1,214	72,840	51
May	66	1,350	81,000	62	74	1,232	73,920	71
June	81	1,544	92,640	71	49	1,079	64,740	52
July	84	1,564	93,840	72	63	1,339	80,340	60
August	80	1,588	95,280	64	74	1,468	88,080	60
September	69	1,366	81,960	60	92	1,556	93,360	66
October					82	1,761	105,660	66
November					90	1,872	112,320	69
December					87	1,667	100,020	65
Totals	675	13,076	802,560	573	872	16,895	1,013,700	737
Original Budget			780,000				1,000,000	

* Rental costs are sometimes estimated - billing not received at the time of this report.

Recap	Number of Inmates	Inmate Days	Rental Cost	Transport Trips	Original Budget	Average Inmates Per Day
FY 2004	41	736	37,227	n/a	22,000	2.0
FY 2005	149	2,243	114,210	91	22,000	6.1
FY 2006	288	5,536	277,930	203	100,000	15.2
FY 2007 (13 Months)	323	5,827	292,496	214	200,000	16.0
FY 2008	635	11,812	629,628	447	300,000	32.4
FY 2009	576	10,203	612,094	410	450,000	28.0
FY 2010	726	15,061	903,785	598	600,000	41.3
FY 2011	794	16,868	1,032,260	585	850,000	46.2
FY 2012	789	16,935	1,010,100	618	1,000,000	46.4
FY 2013	872	16,895	1,013,700	737	1,000,000	46.3



DeKalb County Sheriff's Office

ADMINISTRATION OFFICE • 815-895-7260
CIVIL PROCESS • 815-895-7259
COMMUNICATION CENTER • 815-895-2155
CORRECTIONS/JAIL • 815-895-4177
FAX • 815-895-7235 - SHERIFF
FAX • 815-895-7275 - COMMUNICATIONS
FAX • 815-899-0777 - CORRECTIONS

"TO SERVE AND PROTECT"

ROGER A. SCOTT, SHERIFF
GARY DUMDIE, CHIEF DEPUTY

Communications Update Report Safety & Law Chairman Frieder – October 20, 2014

TO: Chairman Frieder

FROM: Sheriff Scott

REF: Chief & Sheriff Meeting Report
October 1, 2014 - on Communications

DATE: October 20, 2014

As agreed upon at the September 15th, 2015 Law and Justice Committee meeting, I and the Chiefs of Police of the rural towns met on October 1, 2014, at the Sheriff's Office. Hinckley Chief Waitkus, Waterman Chief Breese, and I agreed upon the agenda (see attached) and the location of the meeting. Chief Breese notified all village and towns involved.

The meeting began at 10:00 a.m., and lasted until approximately 11:15 a.m. on Wednesday, October 01, 2014. The meeting was attended by 11 individuals, representing 8 agencies including the Sheriff. The tone of the meeting was professional, cooperative, and I believe productive. The meeting followed the Agenda. (see Attachment A)

In summary the following points of consensus were achieved:

- A. The proposal submitted at the September 15th, Law and Justice Meeting by County Administrator Hanson was accepted as reasonable by those in attendance, and has since been accepted in principal by the respective town officials.
- B. The method of allocation of the \$182,000.00 Communications Fee for 2015-2016, as outlined in the September 15th proposal, amongst villages and town agencies involved will be decided and forwarded to the Sheriff's Office in January of 2015. The method of allocation will be determined by the villages/towns themselves, with the end result producing the \$182,000.00 identified in Administrator Hanson's proposal of September 15th. (see Attachment B)

- C. Finally the consensus was that in 2015 a Communications Advisory Committee should be (appointed and/or authorized by the Law and Justice Committee). The purpose of the Advisory Committee would be:

To review the overall costs of the Sheriff's Communications Center, and to recommend an equitable method of allocation of those costs to the Law and Justice Committee with the understanding that the final decision rests with the County Board.

The suggested make up of such a committee is attached, and is entitled Proposed Time Line Communications. (see Attachment C)

On October 2nd a draft of this report was forwarded to both Chief Breese and Chief Waitkus for their review. They concurred with the report with no suggested changes.

On October 9th I met with the Village of Somonauk Mayor Aaron Grandgeorge. We had a productive meeting, and although they are considering taking their communications to Ken-Com, they have made no final decision or commitment as this point. In addition as of this report they have paid their 2014-2015 fees through November.

Respectfully,



Sheriff Roger Scott

RS/jw

Attachment A October 1st agenda & attendance

Attachment B 9/15 County Proposal

Attachment C Timeline Communications

Attachment D Letter Village of Kingston

**Communications Meeting
Chiefs and Sheriff
October 1st, 2014 – 10:00 a.m.
Sheriff's Office**

- I. **Welcome – Sheriff/ Chief Breese**

- II. **Introductions**

- III. **Update from previous meetings – Chief Breese**

- IV. **Purpose of Meeting – Sheriff Scott
"Review discussion – Financial Proposal" from County presented at September 15th
Law and Justice**

- V. **Future Cost Allocation – beyond May, 2016
Formation and Timeline – Advisory Committee
No longer being charged by CAD events
labor costs only**

- VI. **Other Business**

- VII. **Next Law & Justice Meeting – October 20th, 6:30 p.m.**

Attendance – October 1, 2014

Sheriff's Meeting

- | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Roger Scott | Sheriff |
| 2. Rodney Hampton | Somonauk PD |
| 3. John Lynch | Kingston, IL (Village Board) |
| 4. Gregg Waitkus | Hinckley Chief |
| 5. Paul Lindstrom | Kirkland Police Officer |
| 6. Gary Spangler | Cortland Chief |
| 7. Jason Swanson | Waterman Police Officer |
| 8. Ty Lynch | Genoa Chief |
| 9. Gerald Taft | Kingston Chief |
| 10. Chuck Breese | Waterman Chief |
| 11. Angie Lauderdale | Kingston Village Clerk |

DEKALB COUNTY GOVERNMENT
ALLOCATION OF COSTS FOR SHERIFF'S COMMUNICATION CENTER
Proposal for Law & Justice Committee
September 15, 2014

A. Allocation for Original PSAPS When E-911 Created

1. Costs will be allocated on a call volume basis
2. Call volume will be calculated on a 3 year average

B. Allocation of County PSAP's Share of Cost

1. Sheriff's Office will pay for all costs, less the amount paid by Rural Police Departments
2. Rural Police Departments' share will use the July 1, 2014 allocation amount of \$175,571 as the base for the next four years.
3. For the period of July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2019, Rural Police Departments will only be increased each July 1st by the percentage increase of the labor contract for the Communications Department. (Amounts shown on Attachment A).
4. The Sheriff is asked to work with representatives of the Rural Police Departments to decide how to allocate their share between Rural Police Departments. Possible methods that could be used, but not limited to these choices:
 - a. Population
 - b. Call Volume
 - c. Size of Budget
 - d. Assessed Value
 - e. Size of Police Departments
 - f. Crime Statistics
 - g. Percentage Increase on Base Year
5. The allocation formula can be the same for each year or a different formula could be used each year. Formulas should be decided prior to the start of each allocation year and communicated to the Finance Office.

C. The overall allocation system will be reviewed prior to July 1, 2019 for possible changes.

DEKALB COUNTY GOVERNMENT
Communication Center Cost Allocation
Costs for Rural Police Departments

Entities	12 Months Starting 07/01/2014	12 Months Starting 07/01/2015	12 Months Starting 07/01/2016	12 Months Starting 07/01/2017	12 Months Starting 07/01/2018	3 Year Average CAD Events	% of All Calls	07/01/2014 True Cost CAD Model \$444,346
Rural Police								
Cortland	48,822	0	0	0	0	3,615	27.8%	123,562
Hinckley	17,463	0	0	0	0	1,293	9.9%	44,195
Kingston	10,480	0	0	0	0	776	6.0%	26,524
Kirkland	16,828	0	0	0	0	1,246	9.6%	42,589
Malta	8,535	0	0	0	0	632	4.9%	21,602
Shabbona	23,810	0	0	0	0	1,763	13.6%	60,260
Somonauk	30,995	0	0	0	0	2,295	17.7%	78,444
Waterman	18,638	0	0	0	0	1,380	10.6%	47,169
Total Rural Police	175,571	182,000	188,000	195,000	201,000	13,000	100.0%	444,346

COUNTY PROPOSAL 9/15

PROPOSED TIME LINE COMMUNICATIONS

Cities/Towns	Current Proposal (9/15/14)	Towns Budget County Budget	May – April Jan – Dec
---------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------------

2014 - \$175,571 due July 15, 2014* covers town through April 2015
 2015 - \$182,000 due July 15, 2015** covers town through April 2016 (+6429)
 2016 - \$188,000 due July 15, 2016 ** covers town through April 2017 (+6000)
 2017 - \$195,000 due July 15, 2017 ** covers town through April 2018 (+7000)
 2018 - \$201,000 due July 15, 2018 ** covers town through April 2019 (+6000)

Advisory Committee if needed through
Law & Justice Committee

1. Question how to divide above cost among departments
 - a. CAD events
 - b. Divide increases equally
 - c. Population
 - d. Other

* completed except for Somonauk
 ** Rural Police Cities & towns share will use 2014 amount of \$125,571 as base, and will only be increased by percentage increase of the labor contract each year through April 2019

To Be Formed 2015

Purpose

Review and recommendation of method of cost allocation for participating entitles served by the Communication Center.
 Recommendation to Law and Justice Committee upon completion.

Recommended Advisory Committee

Chair Mayor Russ Stokes
 2 represent rural police dept.
 2 represent rural fire dept.
 1 represent Genoa fire
 1 represent Genoa police
 1 represent Sycamore fire
 1 represent Sycamore Police
 1 represent ETSB/911 Board
 1 County Administration
 2 Sheriff's Office

Village of
Kingston

101 East Railroad Street
Kingston, Illinois 60145



Settled c1835

Website: www.villageofkingston.org
E-mail: kingston@tbcnet.com

Phone: (815) 784-5572

Fax: (815) 784-4398

Police: (815) 784-5943

October 14, 2014

Roger A. Scott, Sheriff
Public Safety Building
150 N. Main Street
Sycamore, IL 60178

Dear Sheriff Scott:

Please let this letter confirm that the DeKalb County Sheriff's Communication Center proposal for dispatching purposes was discussed at Village of Kingston's October 6th Board Meeting. On behalf of the Board of Trustees, I was authorized to convey to you the Village of Kingston's ongoing interest in pursuing discussion over maintaining dispatch services through the County. Chief Gerald Taft was authorized by the Board to participate in further discussions and speak on behalf of the Village in that process as details are formed up and worked out. However, the Board did not take any action to approve or agree to anything specific in the way of formal commitment, pending a greater understanding of actual numbers. It is the Board's position that once numbers are known with a greater degree of certainty, the Trustees can identify the impact on the Village budget and consider a formal commitment at that time.

If you have any questions please contact the Village of Kingston at 815-5572.

Very truly yours,

Angie Lauderdale
Village Clerk

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor was created to provide services to State's Attorneys in Counties containing less than 3,000,000 inhabitants; and

WHEREAS, the powers and duties of the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor are defined and enumerated in the "State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor's Act", 725 ILCS 210/1 et seq., as amended; and

WHEREAS, the Illinois General Assembly appropriates monies for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor, one-third from the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor's County Fund and two-thirds from the General Revenue Fund, provided that such funding receives approval and support from the respective Counties eligible to apply; and

WHEREAS, the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor shall administer the operation of the appellate offices so as to insure that all participating State's Attorneys continue to have final authority in preparation, filing, and arguing of all appellate briefs and any trial assistance; and

WHEREAS, the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor and the Illinois General Assembly have reviewed and approved a budget for Fiscal Year 2015, which funds will provide for the continued operation of the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the DeKalb County Board, in regular session, this _____ day of _____, 20____ does hereby support the continued operation of the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor, and designates the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor as its Agent to administer the operation of the appellate offices and process said appellate court cases for this County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the attorneys employed by the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor are hereby authorized to act as Assistant State's Attorneys on behalf of the State's Attorney of this County in the appeal of all cases, when requested to do so by the State's Attorney, and with the advice and consent of the State's Attorney prepare, file, and argue appellate briefs for those cases; and also, as may be requested by the State's Attorney, to assist in the prosecution of cases under the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, the Cannabis Control Act, the Drug Asset Forfeiture Procedure Act and the Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act. Such attorneys are further authorized to assist the State's Attorney in the State's Attorney's duties under the Illinois Public Labor Relations Act, including negotiations thereunder, as well as in the trial and appeal of tax objections.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor will offer Continuing Legal Education training programs to the State's Attorneys and Assistant State's Attorneys.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the attorneys employed by the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor may also assist the State's Attorney of this County in the discharge of the State's Attorney's duties in the prosecution and trial of other cases, and may act as Special Prosecutor if duly appointed to do so by a court having jurisdiction.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the DeKalb County Board hereby agrees to participate in the service program of the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor for Fiscal Year 2015, commencing December 1, 2014, and ending November 30, 2015, by hereby appropriating the sum of \$24,000.00 as consideration for the express purpose of providing a portion of the funds required for financing the operation of the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor, and agrees to deliver the same to the Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor on request during the Fiscal Year 2015.

Passed and adopted by the County Board of DeKalb County, Illinois, this _____ day of _____ 20_____.

Chairman _____

ATTEST: _____
County Clerk

DEKALB COUNTY GOVERNMENT

NEUTRAL EXCHANGE PROGRAM

(Draft as of October 17, 2014)

1. DeKalb County Government will grant a two year (2015 & 2016 calendar years) sole-source contract to the DeKalb County Family Service Agency as a way to most efficiently and effectively implement a first-time Neutral Exchange Program. The program will commence on January 1, 2015, or as soon thereafter as is practical.
2. A written agreement will be developed by the Judiciary and Administration, to be signed by the Presiding Judge, the County Administrator, and the Family Service Agency. The agreement will detail the program requirements, reports required on the services provided, and the reporting of fee information to the Family Service Agency.
3. The County will divide funding into two areas for 2015, an “Implementation Grant” and a “Base Grant”. The Implementation Grant is provided to recognize one-time costs in establishing a program, refining the program as needed to make it efficient, for accepting the associated risks in starting a new program, and a transition period for funding levels.
4. For 2015, an Implementation Grant will be paid which will be equal to the amount of the fee collected from July 1, 2014 through November 30, 2014 (estimated at \$10,000). This amount will be paid out at the rate of 50% in January of 2015 and 10% for the months of February through June, 2015.
5. For 2015, the Base Grant is awarded for up to \$24,000, but it may not exceed the actual revenues collected in fees for the period of (December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015). The base grant amount will be paid in 12 monthly installments of \$2,000 each which are available on the day following the monthly County Board meeting, which is the third Wednesday evening of each month. In addition, if revenues vary from the \$24,000 Base Grant for the period of December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015, then that variance will be “netted” against the December, 2015 payment. Should a major change occur in the monthly revenue stream, the timing and amounts of payments will be re-evaluated by the Law & Justice Committee for a possible amendment to these procedures.
6. For 2016, only a Base Grant will be paid. The amount of the Base Grant for 2016 will be established in the County’s 2016 Budget. If the amount for 2016 is not acceptable to the Family Service Agency, this agreement may be terminated as of December 31, 2015.
7. For service beginning January 1, 2017, the County will go through a formal Request for Proposal (RFP) process to award grants to one or more providers for this service.

CIVIL CASE FILING FEES BY COUNTY*

	<u>DeKalb</u>	<u>Kane</u>	<u>LaSalle</u>
Court Automation	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
Document Storage	15.00	15.00	15.00
Law Library	10.00	19.00	13.00
Court System	5.00	5.00	5.00
Clerk fee	25.00	25.00	---
Court Security	25.00	---	25.00
CWR	5.00	5.00	---
Neutral Site Exchange	8.00	---	---
Arbitration	---	8.00	---
TOTAL	\$108.00	\$ 92.00	\$ 73.00

*Per clerk of circuit court in each county.

The following counties did not list a break down of civil court filing fees:

Ogle

Under \$1,500	\$ 88.00
Under \$10,000	\$108.00

Winnebago**

Under \$250	\$137.00
Under \$500	\$147.00
Under \$2,500	\$167.00
Under \$15,000	\$202.00
Over \$15,000	\$287.00

**Statutory fees are included in these amounts.

POPULATION BY COUNTY***

	<u>2013 Est.</u>
DeKalb	104,741
Kane	523,643
LaSalle	112,183
Ogle	52,385
Winnebago	290,666

***U.S. Census Bureau

Summary of FY 2015 Budget Appeals
09-30-2014

Appeal #	Budget Narrative #	Appellant	Item Appealed	Dollar Amount	Action Requested	Additional Funding Source or Disposition of Savings	Committee Assigned to
Economic Development Committee Appeals							
1	27	Christine Johnson	Upgrade of Administrative Clerk B Position to Accounting Clerk A Position	\$ 3,000	Approve the upgrade of an Administrative Clerk B position to an Accounting Clerk A position.	Funding to come from the Tax Sale Automation Fund.	Economic Development
Executive Committee Appeals							
2	36	Tobias	Membership in "Metro Counties"	\$ 6,300	Continue membership in "Metro Counties".	Negotiate a lower membership fee - perhaps \$4,300.	Executive
Finance Committee Appeals							
3	28	Stefan	Upgrade of Accounting Supervisor Position to Assistant Finance Director Position	\$ 10,000	Allocate additional funding for the Assistant Finance Director position beyond the current funding level for the Accounting Supervisor position to allow for the potential to hire a candidate with more professional experience.	Additional contributions from the Downstate Operating Assistance Program grant and the Nursing Home.	Finance
Law & Justice Committee Appeals							
4	17	Gilmour	New Adult Probation Officer Position	\$ 70,000	Approve this new position request to apply greater resources to moderate and high risk offenders.	Additional funding applied for from the AOIC.	Law & Justice
5	17	Gilmour	New Supervisor Position	\$ 82,000	Approve this new position request to effectively manage and supervise all staff.	Additional funding applied for from the AOIC.	Law & Justice
6	19	McCulloch	New Level 2 Assistant Public Defender Position	\$ 93,000	Approve this new position to permit the staffing of the new Judge's courtroom as well as the enhanced demand of enlarging the Juvenile Abuse call.	Funding to come from reserves/fund balance.	Law & Justice
7	20	McCulloch	Salary Review for Professional Staff in the Public Defender's Office	Approx. \$45,000 +/-	Approve a salary review and related salary adjustments for professional staff in the Public Defender's Office.	Funding to come from reserves/fund balance.	Law & Justice
8	22	Scott	Two New Corrections Officers	\$ 184,000	Approve both new positions due the the critical needs of proper staffing in the Corrections Division.	Funding to come from reserves/fund balance.	Law & Justice
9	25	Scott	Additional 1% Pay Increase for Sheriff's Office Management Staff	\$ 6,000	Approve a 1% pay increase for management staff in the Sheriff's Office in addition to the 2% pay increase recommended for non-union personnel to place them on pace with MAP pay increases for 2014-2015.	Funding to come from the Law Enforcement Projects Fund	Law & Justice
10	44	Pat McMahan	Children's Waiting Room Funding	\$ 15,000	Approve an increase in the Children's Waiting Room fee from \$5 per filing to \$10 per filing.	Fee increase.	Law & Justice