

*Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.*

DeKalb County Government  
Sycamore, Illinois

**Law & Justice Committee Minutes  
January 25, 2016**

The Law and Justice Committee of the DeKalb County Board met on Monday, January 25, 2016 at 6:30 p.m. in the Legislative Center's Gathertorium. Chairman Frieders called the meeting to order. Those present were Ms. Askins, Mr. Cribben, Ms. Little, Mr. Stoddard, Mrs. Tobias, and Chairman Frieders. Ms. Leifheit was absent. A quorum was established.

Others present were Gary Hanson, Tom McCulloch, Margi Gilmour, Tracy Jones, Glenna Johnson, Sheriff Scott, Lt. Winckler, Chief Deputy Sullivan, and multiple Rural Police and Rural Fire Chiefs and Trustees.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

**Moved by Mrs. Tobias, seconded by Mr. Cribben and it was carried unanimously to approve the minutes from November 23, 2015.**

**APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

**It was moved by Mr. Stoddard, seconded by Ms. Little and it was carried unanimously by voice vote to approve the agenda as presented.**

**PUBLIC COMMENTS**

There were no comments made by the public.

**PUBLIC DEFENDER'S REPORT**

DeKalb County Public Defender Tom McCulloch joined the Committee to present and place his monthly report on file. He added that staffing remains to be an issue within his department due to one Attorney on maternity leave and another Junior Attorney who recently gave notice of leave. Applications are being reviewed passively in order to fill positions but Mr. McCulloch noted that he is still trying to figure out the best way to staff his department given the current County budgetary restraints.

**COURT SERVICES REPORT**

DeKalb County Court Services Director Margi Gilmour presented and placed on file her monthly reports with the Committee as well. She additionally noted that her office is fully staffed and there have been some recent internal promotions within. Ms. Gilmour shared that her and her office are preparing Pretrial statistics in order to be able to present to the Committee within the next couple of months. She lastly was happy to share that one juvenile in residential placement will be exiting in February and the second youth is anticipated to be exiting in mid to late summer.

**SOBER LIVING HOME UPDATE**

DeKalb County Drug Court Coordinator Michael Douglas was unable to attend the Committee Meeting so Mr. Hanson provided a brief update to the Committee regarding the current status of

the Sober Living Home. He shared that the bids for the requirements that are needed to bring the home up to code came back much higher than anyone had anticipated so those projects are out to bid again.

Since receiving those high bids and the house not being used, the furnace went out during very cold weather and a water pipe broke within the home. Insurance is now assessing the water damage which is causing an additional delay in the project. Best guess is that the Home would be anticipated to be operable in spring.

### **COMMUNICATION CENTER FEE PROPOSAL FOR NON-CONTRACTED AGENCIES**

DeKalb County Sheriff Roger Scott started off by thanking the room-full of Rural Police and Fire Chiefs and Trustee for coming to be a part of these discussions. He also shared that he would like to invite Glenna Johnson to speak first regarding what E-911 pays for and then invite Mr. Hanson to review the handout of that outlines the cost allocation options for the Communication Center.

E-911 Coordinator Glenna Johnson provided an overview and clarification of what the DeKalb County Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB) pays for. She also provided a handout that depicted a system comparison on cost per call or per capita for County Systems with a population of 50,000 to 250,000. The figures were pulled from ISP AR911 State Reports. In 2013 DeKalb County ranked 15<sup>th</sup> out of 20 for per call and per capita.

County Administrator Gary Hanson reviewed a two-page handout which detailed the Communication Center cost allocation possible scenarios for rural billing based on CAD Events for calendar years 2013, 2014, and 2015. From previous discussions it has been a consensus that the costs for the Communication Center should be based on CAD Events and when there has been a lot of interest in using a three-year average in order to avoid spikes. The only difference between the numbers that were last presented from the Sub-Committee and the now proposed numbers is there is new data for year 2015. Mr. Hanson additionally explained the options that the Committee is being faced to decide whether moving forward they would like to continue to charge the Rural Police only (option A), start charging Rural Fire Districts in order to reduce the Rural Police costs (option B), or charge everyone at a true cost (option C). He clarified that Genoa-Kingston and Sycamore are not included because they already have an arrangement where both entities are paying their full amount based on a three-year average of CAD events.

It was also explained that this (now \$188,000) number was originally derived from a \$175,571 total fee in 2014. In early 2014 there was a seven year phase-in program proposed to the Committee which would all participating agencies except Sycamore and Genoa. The phase-in program was designed to facilitate long range planning on the part of everyone, it was also noted that during the conclusion of the phase-in period the County's portion of the communication budget would be reduced due to the Sheriff's Office no longer subsidizing the cost of the center for the Rural Police Departments.

In months to come, the Rural Police Department's visited the Committee to express their discontent with the proposed program that would have begun to charge them a substantial amount more than they were current paying. After several meetings and conversations the County and Rural Police Departments agreed to set an allocation amounts from 2015-2019 and

*Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.*

that those amounts would only increase by percentage increase of the labor contract each year through April 2019. The allocation amounts were:

2014 - \$175,571 due July 15, 2014  
2015 - \$182,000 due July 15, 2015  
2016 - \$188,000 due July 15, 2016  
2017 - \$195,000 due July 15, 2017  
2018 - \$201,000 due July 15, 2018

This understanding was also accompanied with the forming of an Advisory Sub-Committee that was made up of 2 representatives of Rural Police Departments, 2 representatives of Rural Fire Department, 1 rep from Genoa Fire, 1 rep from Genoa Police, 1 rep from Sycamore Fire, 1 rep from Sycamore Police, 1 rep from ETSB/911 Board, 1 County Administration, 1 Law & Justice Committee Member, 1 rep from the Sheriff's Department, and the Chairman being Russell Stokes. These individuals were assigned to review and recommend a method of cost allocation for participating entities served by the Communication Center.

In late 2015, the Advisory Sub-Committee reported back to the Law & Justice Committee and further brought a proposal that included a breakdown shared by Rural Police AND Rural Fire Departments based on the number of CAD Events to satisfy the agreed cost of \$188,000. It was explained that this was proposed in order to spread the costs over more agencies that utilize the Communications Center.

The present group also discussed multiple situations regarding CAD Events and who would respond to certain calls. Sheriff Scott also noted that as he has expressed previously, if everyone agrees to eliminate certain CAD Events he would be fine with that but then need to be eliminated for everyone to be fair.

The topic of Sworn/Deputized Officers within the Communications Center also came up and Sheriff Scott reiterated his stand on why he feels it is critical for the Telecommunicators positions to be Deputized and yet also added that he understands there is a possibility in the future where it may no longer be a viable option for his Department to have them Deputized.

Waterman Police Chief Chuck Breese approached the group and the Committee and reviewed his long history with the Communication Center cost allocation issue. He additionally added he was a Member of the Sub-Committee and has previously organized multiple meetings with small towns to discuss and determine what allocation method is the fairest for everyone involved. He also explained that he was the individual who questioned why the Rural Fire Districts were not being charged for their share of the Communication Center when the Rural Police were being charged for their portion. He additionally empathized with the Fire District's frustrations and shared that he in the past had the same frustrations and came to many meetings to address his concerns in the past.

The Fire Districts expressed that the reason they were present and upset is they were sold on the concept of County-wide 911. Almost every Fire District had their own infrastructure to do their own dispatching and take care of their own calls and they were told they would be cared for and told how everything was going to be set up and Fire Districts wouldn't need to do anything further. All of their previous infrastructure is gone and they can't get it back so now they are between a rock and a hard place and suddenly costs are going up for the Rural Police and they

*Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.*

wanted to turn around give it to Fire as well. Sheriff Scott noted that the infrastructure the Fire Districts mentioned was known as the fire bar, which is no longer available in the State of Illinois, anywhere.

Sheriff Scott noted that he runs a uniformed Communication Center and they cannot specialize for every individual Police or Fire Department. That has been their consistent goal and when individuals express complaints, they try to address them and have done that for years. Chairman Frieders additionally added that it has always been the intent of his Committee and County Board to keep the Communications Center together and there is value in everyone working together. That is also why there has been so many meetings on this issue and invitation to hear from everyone involved before any final decisions are made.

Fire Chiefs and Fire Trustees shared that feedback from others have been very negative towards the idea of charging Rural Fire Districts and are further concerned with the fact that they were not presented with a contract or intergovernmental agreement, instead they feel they are just being given a reoccurring bill to pay with no choice or input. Fire Trustees also shared their budgeting concerns and the type of impact a Communication Center bill would impose to them.

Chairman Frieders noted that he hates to see this issue go on from month to month but he is willing to discuss this matter for however long it takes to come to a fair resolution.

The continued pressing question that was being asked is what would happen to the costs if two or more agencies decides to leave the Communication Center. Sheriff Scott answered that the costs would increase and the County Board would most likely then press him to lay off staff and when staff is laid off, services will also be cut back and the more that leave the more the services will cut back until they are left with just dispatching responsibilities for the Sheriff's Office and other County Offices.

Ms. Askins noted that she feels that everyone who used the service should play a role in paying for it as well. The options in front of them though also don't take into consideration volunteer verses employed personnel and maybe that is something that can be taken into consideration. She added that beyond that, she does think that it makes sense that when different taxing bodies are working with each other that there should be an intergovernmental agreement.

Mr. Stoddard agreed and echoed Ms. Askin's comments and shared in the understanding that some agencies were not properly notified of this issue and are not being heard on what they want in order to be comfortable with this type of arrangement. He suggested possibly going with Option A and use the upcoming year to work on an intergovernmental agreement.

Mr. Cribben expressed he feels they are "kicking the can down the road" and knows everyone wants to work together but he has yet to hear any consensus on how to fairly move forward with this costs allocation issue or how to get the trustees together to construct an intergovernmental agreement. He also added that he didn't want to vote to continue to allow this issue to go unresolved.

*Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.*

Mr. Jones, County Board Vice Chairman, shared that this is an issue that has been discussed for a long time and one he has discussed with the Sheriff for some time now and he noted that he gets real nervous when he hears agencies talk about leaving because people are starting to put money in front of public safety, and public safety is the number one thing. He doesn't want to see calls start to be routed in three, four, five different ways in the matter of public safety. He also shared that he understands the budget hardships of Fire and Police Districts but added that the County is facing very difficult budget times as well. There is a pool of money that the County needs to collect to keep the Communication Center running efficiently and everyone is looking for a fair system on how that is going to get paid. He wanted to express that everyone's concerns are being heard and they want the best systems that can have.

Fire District representatives continued to express that they were not comfortable paying a bill that is given to them without an agreement in place and without some discussions regarding current dispatching issues. It was further reiterated that Fire Trustees need to be brought to the table and involved as well.

It was asked if an intergovernmental agreement would be workable with the County. Mr. Hanson noted that Administration and the Sheriff could work in conjunction with each impacted agency in a general meeting that would include Fire District Trustees, Fire Chiefs, and Police Chiefs to formulate a contract that stipulates financial and operational conditions. It would be easiest to start with a base/skeleton agreement and work from that because getting that many individuals to agree on one agreement will be a challenge in itself.


There was a consensus to go with the idea of having a general meeting with the parties announced above and to work out an intergovernmental agreement but it was asked which Communication Center allocation option that the Committee would like go with in order to get an idea of how to move forward.

**Ms. Askins moved that the rural portion of the costs for the Sheriff's Communication Center should be allocated proportionately to both Rural Police and Rural Fire Departments based on total rural CAD Events, beginning with the July 1, 2016 contract year with the understanding that there will be a general meeting that includes Fire Trustees and Fire and Police Chiefs that will work out an intergovernmental agreement that stipulates financial and operational considerations. Mr. Cribben seconded the motion. Those voting yea were Ms. Askins, Mr. Cribben, Ms. Little, Mrs. Tobias, and Chairman Frieders. Mr. Stoddard opposed and Ms. Leifheit was absent. Motion carried.**

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

**It was moved by Ms. Askins, seconded by Ms. Little, and it was carried unanimously to adjourn the meeting.**

Respectfully submitted,

  
Tasha Sims, Recording Secretary

  
Chairman John Frieders

*Note: These minutes are not official until approved by the Law and Justice Committee at a subsequent meeting. Please refer to the meeting minutes when these minutes are approved to obtain any changes to these minutes.*



**DEKALB COUNTY ADULT COURT SERVICES  
MONTHLY REPORT  
FISCAL YEAR 2015**

**PROBATION**

	JAN.	FEB.	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEPT.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	Total
PSI's Ordered	4	0	1	3	1	2	3	1	4	3	2	2	26
PSI's Completed	3	2	2	1	3	1	2	2	3	1	3	4	27
New Active Cases	33	23	46	37	23	28	42	29	31	23	24	32	371
Felony	332	333	340	351	356	345	355	358	365	369	371	376	354
Misdemeanor	135	135	138	139	139	148	152	146	142	142	136	138	141
DUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Active Caseload	467	468	478	490	495	493	507	504	507	511	507	505	494
Pending Intakes	146	143	145	137	125	120	112	109	107	97	90	88	118
Total Active Caseload	613	611	623	627	620	613	619	613	614	608	597	593	613
Administrative Cases	923	922	941	963	959	960	959	973	993	981	963	966	959
Transfer In Cases	12	9	11	15	6	6	11	9	7	8	8	5	107
Transfer Out Cases	11	6	14	16	9	14	11	16	12	4	5	15	133
Tech Viol. Reported	17	25	38	33	29	28	35	27	27	23	24	36	342
Crim. Viol. Reported	13	14	9	17	13	19	18	17	17	8	14	21	180
Tech. - No Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Tech. - Finding Viol.	5	12	7	4	6	9	5	4	10	2	3	3	70
Crim. - No Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crim. - Finding Viol.	2	3	6	0	4	7	2	3	7	2	1	6	43
Successful Terminations	14	14	22	19	9	25	16	7	7	26	20	15	194

mo. average

**COMMUNITY RESTITUTION SERVICE**

	JAN.	FEB.	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEPT.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	TOTAL
# Adults Referred	87	81	118	96	82	100	72	67	65	52	61	72	953
# Hours Ordered	9,190	10,600	11,720	13,330	8,960	11,660	8,295	7,765	9,160	5,911	6,195	8,871	111,657
# Hours Completed	5,016	4,854	5,204	7,374	6,497	5,756	5,204	5,372	4,344	6,177	3,472	3,896	63,166

# Juveniles Referred	12	5	8	14	5	6	5	6	6	6	3	2	78
# Hours Ordered	385	210	290	585	125	360	190	180	200	180	100	30	2835
# Hours Completed	160	106	121	85	161	118	81	127	46	54	49	79	1187

## JUVENILE PLACEMENT/DETENTION REPORT

### PLACEMENT

	<u>Jan. 15</u>	<u>Feb. 15</u>	<u>Mar. 15</u>	<u>Apr. 15</u>	<u>May 15</u>	<u>June 15</u>	<u>July 15</u>	<u>Aug. 15</u>	<u>Sept. 15</u>	<u>Oct. 15</u>	<u>Nov. 15</u>	<u>Dec. 15</u>
Residential placements at beginning of mo.	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Minors placed during the month	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minors released during the month	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minors in residential placement at end of mo.	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

### DETENTION

	<u>Jan. 15</u>	<u>Feb. 15</u>	<u>Mar. 15</u>	<u>Apr. 15</u>	<u>May 15</u>	<u>June 15</u>	<u>July 15</u>	<u>Aug. 15</u>	<u>Sept. 15</u>	<u>Oct. 15</u>	<u>Nov. 15</u>	<u>Dec. 15</u>
Minors in detention at beginning of month	4	3	0	3	1	1	1	2	5	3	1	1
Minors detained during the month	1	0	6	0	3	4	6	6	4	5	8	9
Minors released during the month	2	3	3	2	3	4	5	3	6	7	8	7
Minors in detention at end of month	3	0	3	1	1	1	2	5	3	1	1	3
Average daily population for mo.admissions	0.5	na	2	na	<1	<1	1	2	2	1	1.5	3
Average length of stay for mo. admissions	17 days	na	12 days	na	3 days	3.5 days	5.5 days	9.5 days	12.5 days	4 days	5 days	9.5 days



## 2015 Pretrial YTD Information

	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
<b>Bond Investigations Completed</b>												
Felony	41	28	32	37	42	37	44	34	33	37	32	22
Misdemeanor	10	5	7	7	17	15	7	11	11	11	24	36
<b>Released on Pre-Trial Supervision</b>												
Felony	13	6	18	13	18	10	20	9	9	14	17	12
Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	2	7	15
<b>Released with no Pre-trial Supervision (Including those who posted)</b>												
Felony	34	24	20	30	31	30	30	23	25	31	21	14
Misdemeanor	9	5	4	5	12	12	6	10	9	8	17	19
<b>Active Pre-Trial Supervision Cases</b>												
Felony	55	60	72	79	84	82	95	96	90	99	107	108
Misdemeanor	4	6	5	5	5	3	6	7	8	9	16	27
<b>Violations Reported</b>												
Rules Violation	2	2	3	0	3	2	0	1	4	5	3	8
Failure to Appear	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Offense	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	7	2	0
<b>Violations Revoked</b>												
Rules Violation	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
Failure to Appear	1	0	0	0	5	2	2	1	1	0	0	0
New Offense	0	1	2	1	0	3	1	0	3	2	0	0
<b>Successful Terminations</b>	5	1	4	6	6	9	4	5	12	5	6	15

### Cost Avoidance

The Pre-Trial program was operational as of June 9, 2014.

From 6/9/14 - 1/7/16, 256 individuals were released from custody and placed on pre-trial supervision for a total of 38,168 days.

We can't definitively say the pre-trial program is the only element responsible for the release of those individuals, as other factors may be involved. However, prior to the implementation of the pre-trial program the court did not have the benefit of the bond investigation reports with verified information to include a risk assessment or the ability to order individuals released to report to the Pre-Trial Officers for supervision while out on bond.

**DEKALB COUNTY GOVERNMENT**  
**Communication Center Cost Allocation**  
**CAD Events for Billing 07/01/2016 thru 06/30/2017**  
**Based on CAD Events for Calendar 2013 & 2014 & 2015**

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Entities	% of Average CAD Events	3 Year Average CAD Events	3 Year Total CAD Events	2015 CAD Events	2014 CAD Events	2013 CAD Events	
1 Rural Fire							
2 Cortland	0.4%	343	1,029	326	381	322	
3 Hinckley	0.3%	217	652	212	205	235	
4 Kingston	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	
5 Kirkland	0.3%	272	816	294	276	246	
6 Lee	0.0%	34	102	33	34	35	
7 Leland	0.1%	116	347	127	112	108	
8 Malta	0.2%	188	565	174	209	182	
9 Paw Paw	0.0%	15	44	15	12	17	
10 Shabbona	0.2%	151	452	191	116	145	
11 Somonauk	0.6%	476	1,428	460	497	471	
12 Waterman	0.2%	191	572	157	211	204	
13 Sheriff - Out of County	0.1%	72	215	74	81	60	
14 Total Rural Fire	2.6%	2,074	6,222	2,063	2,134	2,025	
15 Rural Police							
16 Cortland	5.3%	4,238	12,715	4,254	4,159	4,302	
17 Hinckley	2.2%	1,730	5,189	1,827	1,599	1,763	
18 Kingston	0.8%	628	1,884	673	414	797	
19 Kirkland	1.5%	1,171	3,514	1,176	1,343	995	
20 Lee	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	
21 Leland	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	
22 Malta	0.6%	470	1,409	250	506	653	
23 Paw Paw	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	
24 Shabbona	2.2%	1,753	5,260	1,773	1,675	1,812	
25 Somonauk	2.4%	1,931	5,793	1,702	1,973	2,118	
26 Waterman	1.2%	987	2,960	688	1,085	1,187	
27 Total Rural Police	16.3%	12,908	38,724	12,343	12,754	13,627	
28 Sheriff's Police	47.4%	37,629	112,887	35,353	37,248	40,286	
29 Total Sheriff & Rural	66.3%	52,611	157,833	49,759	52,136	55,938	
30 Genoa Police	5.7%	4,560	13,680	4,547	4,778	4,355	
31 Genoa-Kingston Fire	1.0%	762	2,286	799	782	705	
32 Sycamore Police	24.5%	19,434	58,303	20,873	18,165	19,265	
33 Sycamore Fire	2.5%	2,017	6,052	2,047	2,020	1,985	
34 Total Sycamore	27.0%	21,451	64,355	22,920	20,185	21,250	
35 Total All CAD Events	100.0%	79,384	238,154	78,025	77,881	82,248	

Note: Sheriff - Out of County for Fire is for random fire transfer calls from other Counties.

**DEKALB COUNTY GOVERNMENT**  
**Communication Center Cost Allocation**  
**Possible Scenarios for Rural Billing**  
**Based on CAD Events for Calendar 2013 & 2014 & 2015**

Entities	Rural Population Based		CAD Event Based		CAD Event Based		CAD Event Based	
	CURRENT YEAR		OPTION A:		OPTION B:		OPTION C:	
	RURAL POLICE ONLY		RURAL POLICE ONLY		ADD RURAL FIRE REDUCE POLICE		EVERYONE AT TRUE COST	
	FY 2015 Rural Police \$182,000 Share of Cost	% of Rural 3 Yr Avg CAD Events	FY 2016 Rural Police \$188,000 Share of Cost	% of Rural 3 Yr Avg CAD Events	FY 2016 All Rural \$188,000 Share of Cost	% of Rural 3 Yr Avg CAD Events	FY 2016 True Cost \$2,789,000 Share of Cost	% of Total 3 Yr Avg CAD Events
1 Rural Fire								
2 Cortland		0.4%			4,304	2.3%	11,877	0.4%
3 Hinckley		0.3%			2,727	1.5%	7,525	0.3%
4 Kingston		0.0%			0	0.0%	0	0.0%
5 Kirkland		0.3%			3,413	1.8%	9,418	0.3%
6 Lee		0.0%			427	0.2%	1,177	0.0%
7 Leland		0.1%			1,451	0.8%	4,005	0.1%
8 Malta		0.2%			2,363	1.3%	6,521	0.2%
9 Paw Paw		0.0%			184	0.1%	508	0.0%
10 Shabbona		0.2%			1,891	1.0%	5,217	0.2%
11 Somonauk		0.6%			5,973	3.2%	16,482	0.6%
12 Waterman		0.2%			2,393	1.3%	6,602	0.2%
13 Sheriff - Out of County		0.1%			899	0.5%	2,482	0.1%
14 Total Rural Fire	0	2.5%	0	0.0%	26,025	13.8%	71,814	2.6%
15 Rural Police								
16 Cortland	50,686	4.9%	61,730	32.8%	53,184	28.3%	146,757	5.3%
17 Hinckley	18,363	1.8%	25,192	13.4%	21,705	11.5%	59,892	2.1%
18 Kingston	10,994	0.8%	9,147	4.9%	7,880	4.2%	21,745	0.8%
19 Kirkland	17,599	1.5%	17,060	9.1%	14,698	7.8%	40,559	1.5%
20 Lee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
21 Leland	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
22 Malta	9,049	0.7%	6,841	3.6%	5,894	3.1%	16,263	0.6%
23 Paw Paw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
24 Shabbona	24,195	2.1%	25,537	13.6%	22,002	11.7%	60,711	2.2%
25 Somonauk	31,830	2.7%	28,124	15.0%	24,231	12.9%	66,863	2.4%
26 Waterman	19,280	1.5%	14,370	7.6%	12,381	6.6%	34,164	1.2%
27 Total Rural Police	181,996	16.0%	188,001	100.0%	161,975	86.2%	446,953	16.0%
28 Sheriff's Police	1,711,000	50.1%	1,673,956		1,673,957		1,343,190	48.2%
29 Total Sheriff & Rural	1,892,996	68.6%	1,861,957		1,861,957		1,861,957	66.8%
30 Genoa Police	156,000	5.7%	157,895		157,895		157,895	5.7%
31 Genoa-Kingston Fire	25,000	0.9%	26,385		26,385		26,385	0.9%
32 Sycamore Police	618,918	22.4%	672,922		672,922		672,922	24.1%
33 Sycamore Fire	68,082	2.5%	69,841		69,841		69,841	2.5%
34 Total Sycamore	687,000	24.9%	742,763		742,763		742,763	26.6%
35 Total Allocated Amount	2,760,996	100.0%	2,789,000	100.0%	2,789,000	100.0%	2,789,000	100.0%

Note: Sheriff - Out of County for Fire is for random fire transfer calls from other Counties.